UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,090	06/30/2003	Jean-Marie Bernard	004900-195	8126
BURNS, DOA	7590 03/28/200 NE, SWECKER & MA	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1404			SERGENT, RABON A	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			' ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	-
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/608,090	BERNARD, JEAN-MARIE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2007.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23-35 and 37-59 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-35 and 37-59 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	* ' '	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO.413)			
?) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te			
l) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 23-35 and 37-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Firstly, applicant has failed to provide support or definition for the term, "non-foamed polyisocyanate(s)". Applicant has stated that support for the language is present within page 3, lines 26-33. The examiner has considered this passage, and while it states that a foam is not produced, it does not define "non-foamed polyisocyanate(s)". The term, "foam", as used within the specification and as understood within the polyurethane art pertains to a polymeric foam structure; it does not pertain to monomeric polyisocyanate reactants; therefore, it cannot be determined from the cited passage exactly what applicant contemplates by the term.

Secondly, despite applicant's response, adequate and clear support has not been found for the subject matter of amended claims 48 and 49. Explanation as to how and where the specification provides support for the amended language is required.

2. Claims 23-35 and 37-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what limitation or significance is to be ascribed to the language, "non-foamed", when used in conjunction with "polyisocyanate(s)". Since foams, by art-recognized definition are polymeric, and since polyisocyanates are understood to be monomeric or

Application/Control Number: 10/608,090 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

oligomeric, and therefore, non-polymeric, it is unclear how "non-foamed" further limits or modifies "polyisocyanate(s)".

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 23-27, 30, 31, 33-35, 42, 45, 48, 50, 55, 56, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 419114.

The reference discloses the reaction of polyisocyanates with cyclic carbonates having an isocyanate reactive substituent attached to the ring, and the use of the resulting product within polymers. See abstract and page 3, lines 1-8. Applicant has argued that the reference pertains to a polymeric foam; therefore, the instant claims, as amended, are distinguished from the reference. In response, while the reference does pertain to a polymeric foam product, applicant has not established that the reference does not disclose non-foamed polyisocyanate monomers that correspond to those claimed, since reactants equivalent to those of applicant are being used within the reference. Furthermore, as aforementioned, since polyisocyanates are monomeric and foams are polymeric, it is unclear how the amended language distinguishes the claims, since one would expect that pre-polymerized components or reactants cannot be polymeric foams. In summation, since it cannot be determined what significance can be ascribed to the "non-foamed" language, and since applicant's arguments are solely directed to the foamed product of the reference, as opposed to the disclosed reaction of the polyisocyanates and cyclic coreactants, it

Art Unit: 1711

has not been established that the claimed non-foamed monomers are not encompassed by the reference.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent March 25, 2007